

Stability of Mixed AQ_2CQ_4 - Functional Equation In Fuzzy Banach Spaces

Arunkumar M¹, Sathya E², Ramamoorthi S³ and Mahesh Kumar N⁴

Received: 05 October 2023/ Accepted: 10 October 2023/ Published online: 16 December 2023

©Sacred Heart Research Publications 2017

Abstract

In this research article, we discuss the stabilities of mixed AQ_2CQ_4 functional equation in Fuzzy Banach spaces with the help of the Hyers method.

Key words: Additive functional equation, quadratic functional equation, cubic functional equation, quartic functional equations, mixed type $AQCQ$ functional equation, Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability, Fuzzy Banach space.

AMS classification: 39B52, 32B72, 32B82

1. Introduction

In 1940, the Stability of Functional Equation has been studied with the help of mapping ideology by Ulam who used the famous Ulam Stability problem [41], Then further it was developed by Hyers [19] in 1941 for additive mappings. Further T. Aoki [3], Th.M. Rassias [36], P. Gavruta [17] and Rassias [38] generalized Hyers result in various settings.

Several types of mixed type functional equations in various normed spaces are introduced and investigated in [6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 30, 32, 34, 35, 40]. Side by side other types of $AQCQ$ functional equations were introduced and its stability were discussed in [8, 9, 18, 23, 28, 29, 33, 39].

In this research article, the authors prove the stabilities of mixed AQ_2CQ_4

¹Department of Mathematics, Kalaingar Karunanidhi Government Arts College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.

Email:drarun4maths@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Kalaingar Karunanidhi Government Arts College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.

Email:sathya24mathematics@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics, Arunai Engineering College, Tiruvannamalai - 606 603, TamilNadu, India.

Email:ramvsubramani@gmail.com

⁴Department of Mathematics, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, Coimbatore - 641 021, TamilNadu, India.

Email:mrngurumahesh@gmail.com



functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(tv_k + w_k) + f(w_k - tv_k) \right) \\ &= t^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(v_k + w_k) + f(w_k - v_k) \right) + 2(1-t^2) \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(w_k) \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{(t^4 - t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(2v_k) + f(-2v_k) - 4f(v_k) - 4f(-v_k) \right) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where t is a real number with $t \neq 0, \pm 1$ in Fuzzy Banach spaces with the help of Hyers methods. The above functional equation is different from the previous investigations and we trigger the stability by different substitutions.

Now, we recall the definitions and notations on fuzzy normed spaces given in [11] and [24, 25, 26, 27].

Definition 1.1 [24, 25, 26, 27] Let X be a real linear space. A function $N : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (the so-called fuzzy subset) is said to be a fuzzy norm on X if for all $x, y \in X$ and all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (FNS1) $N(x, c) = 0$ for $c \geq 0$;
- (FNS2) $x = 0$ if and only if $N(x, c) = 1$ for all $c > 0$;
- (FNS3) $N(cx, t) = N\left(x, \frac{t}{|c|}\right)$ if $c \neq 0$;
- (FNS4) $N(x + y, s + t) \geq \min\{N(x, s), N(y, t)\}$;
- (FNS5) $N(x, \cdot)$ is a non-decreasing function on \mathbb{R} and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, t) = 1$;
- (FNS6) for $x \neq 0, N(x, \cdot)$ is (upper semi) continuous on \mathbb{R} .

The pair (X, N) is called a fuzzy normed linear space. One may regard $N(x, t)$ as the truth-value of the statement the norm of x is less than or equal to the real number t .

Example 1.2 [24, 25, 26, 27] The fuzzy norm

$$N(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t + \|x\|}, & t > 0, x \in X, \\ 0, & t \geq 0, x \in X \end{cases}$$

fuzzy normed linear space.

Definition 1.3 [24, 25, 26, 27] Let (X, N) be a fuzzy normed linear space. Let x_n be a sequence in X . Then x_n is said to be convergent if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$. In that case, x is called the limit of the sequence x_n and one can denote it by $N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Definition 1.4 [24, 25, 26, 27] A sequence x_n in X is called Cauchy if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $t > 0$ there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $p > 0$, one can have $N(x_{n+p} - x_n, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Definition 1.5 [24, 25, 26, 27] Every convergent sequence in a fuzzy normed space is Cauchy. If each Cauchy sequence is convergent, then the fuzzy norm is said to be complete and the fuzzy normed space is called a fuzzy Banach space.

The stability of various functional equations in fuzzy normed spaces were given in [4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 35, 40, 24, 25, 26, 27] and references cited there in.

For proving stability results, let us take $H_3, (H_1, N)$ and (H_2, N') are linear space, fuzzy normed space and fuzzy Banach space. Define a mapping $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(tv_k + w_k) + f(w_k - tv_k) \right) \\ &\quad - t^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(v_k + w_k) + f(w_k - v_k) \right) - 2(1-t^2) \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(w_k) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{(t^4 - t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(2v_k) + f(-2v_k) - 4f(v_k) - 4f(-v_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$.

2. Fuzzy Stability Theorem: Odd Case

Theorem 2.1 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an odd mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_O, \mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are functions with the conditions

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(2^{pq}v_1, 2^{pq}w_1, 2^{pq}v_2, 2^{pq}w_2 \right), 2^{pq} \mathcal{K} \right) = 1 \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(2^p v, 2^p v, 2^p v, 2^p v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(r^p \mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (4)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f_1(v) - \mathcal{A}(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) = \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 8f(v) - \mathcal{A}(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(v, v, v, v \right)$, $\mathcal{A}(v)$ and T_O are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, v, v, v \right), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, 2v, v, 2v \right), t^2\mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(2v, v, 2v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(2v, 2v, 2v, 2v \right), t^2\mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(2v, v, 2v, v \right), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(3v, v, 3v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, (1+2t)v, v, (1+2t)v \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v, (1-2t)v, v, (1-2t)v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{A}(v) - \frac{f_1(2^{pq}v)}{2^{pq}}, \mathcal{K} \right) = 1; \quad T_O = \left(\frac{(16-3t^2)}{2(t^4-t^2)} \right) \quad (7)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Proof: For oddness of f in (2), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(tv_k + w_k) + f(w_k - tv_k) \right) - t^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(v_k + w_k) + f(w_k - v_k) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2(1-t^2) \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(w_k) \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Replacing (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) by (v, v, v, v) in (8), one can reach

$$\mathcal{F} \left(2f((1+t)v) + 2f((1-t)v) - 2t^2 f(2v) - 4(1-t^2) f(v), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (9)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (9), one can obtain

$$\mathcal{F} \left(4(1-t^2)f((1+t)v) + 4(1-t^2)f((1-t)v) - 4(1-t^2)t^2 f(2v) - 8(1-t^2)^2 f(v), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (10)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Replacing (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) by $(v, 2v, v, 2v)$ in (8), one can have

$$\mathcal{F} \left(2f((2-t)v) + 2f((2+t)v) - 2t^2 f(3v) - 2t^2 f(v) - 4(1-t^2) f(2v), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, 2v, v, 2v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (11)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (11), one can get

$$\mathcal{F} \left(2t^2 f((2-t)v) + 2t^2 f((2+t)v) - 2t^4 f(3v) - 2t^4 f(v) - 4t^2(1-t^2) f(2v), t^2 \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, 2v, v, 2v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (12)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Interchanging

$$\left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right) \text{ by } \left(2v, v, 2v, v \right); \left(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v \right); \left(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v \right)$$

in (8), one can observe the inequalities

$$\mathcal{F} \left(2f((1+2t)v) + 2f((1-2t)v) - 2t^2 f(3v) + 2t^2 f(v) - 4(1-t^2) f(v), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} & \left(2f((1+2t)v) + 2f(v) - 2t^2f((2+t)v) - 2t^2f(tv) - 4(1-t^2)f((1+t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} & \left(2f((1-2t)v) + 2f(v) - 2t^2f((2-t)v) + 2t^2f(tv) - 4(1-t^2)f((1-t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS4) and (10), (12), (13), (14), (15), one can find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} & \left(5f(v) + 2(t^4 - t^2)(f(3v) - 4f(2v)), (2(1-t^2) + t^2 + 3)\mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, 2v, v, 2v), t^2\mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (16), one can arrive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} & \left(4(t^4 - t^2)(f(3v) - 4f(2v) + 5f(v)), (4(1-t^2) + 2t^2 + 6)\mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, 2v, v, 2v), t^2\mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Replacing v by $2v$ in (9), one can reach

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} & \left(2f(2(1+t)v) + 2f(2(1-t)v) - 2t^2f(4v) - 4(1-t^2)f(2v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, 2v, 2v, 2v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (18), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} & \left(2t^2f(2(1-t)v) + 2t^2f(2(1+t)v) - 2t^4f(4v) - 4(t^2 - t^4)f(2v), t^2\mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, 2v, 2v, 2v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (13), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(4(1-t^2)f((1+2t)v) + 4(1-t^2)f((1-2t)v) - 4(t^2-t^4)f(3v) \right. \\ & \left. + 4(t^2-t^4)f(v) - 8(1-t^2)^2f(v), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Replacing

$$(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) \text{ by } (3v, v, 3v, v); (v, (1+2t)v, v, (1+2t)v); (v, (1-2t)v, v, (1-2t)v)$$

in (8), one can observe the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2f((1+3t)v) + 2f((1-3t)v) - 2t^2f(4v) + 2t^2f(2v) - 4(1-t^2)f(v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(3v, v, 3v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2f((1+3t)v) + 2f((1+t)v) - 2t^2f(2(1+t)v) - 2t^2f(2tv) - 4(1-t^2)f((1+2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+2t)v, v, (1+2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2f((1-3t)v) + 2f((1-t)v) - 2t^2f(2(1-t)v) + 2t^2f(2tv) - 4(1-t^2)f((1-2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-2t)v, v, (1-2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS4) and (9), (19), (20), (21), (22), (23), one can arrive

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2(t^4-t^2)(f(4v) - 2f(3v) - 2f(2v) + 6f(v)), (t^2 + 2(1-t^2) + 4)\mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, 2v, 2v, 2v), t^2\mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), 2(1-t^2)\mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(3v, v, 3v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+2t)v, v, (1+2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-2t)v, v, (1-2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS4) and (17), (24), one can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2(t^4 - t^2) \left(f(4v) - 10f(2v) + 16f(v) \right), (16 - 3t^2) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), 2(1 - t^2) \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, 2v, v, 2v), t^2 \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, 2v, 2v, 2v), t^2 \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), 2(1 - t^2) \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(3v, v, 3v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+2t)v, v, (1+2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-2t)v, v, (1-2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS4) and (25), one can find

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f(4v) - 10f(2v) + 16f(v), \left(\frac{16 - 3t^2}{2(t^4 - t^2)} \right) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), 2(1 - t^2) \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, 2v, v, 2v), t^2 \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+t)v, v, (1+t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-t)v, v, (1-t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, 2v, 2v, 2v), t^2 \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2v, v, 2v, v), 2(1 - t^2) \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(3v, v, 3v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1+2t)v, v, (1+2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(v, (1-2t)v, v, (1-2t)v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\ & = \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Set $T_O = \left(\frac{16 - 3t^2}{2(t^4 - t^2)} \right)$ in (26), one can see

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(4v) - 10f(2v) + 16f(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{27}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Define a function

$$f_1(v) = f(2v) - 8f(v) \tag{28}$$

for all $v \in H_1$. Using (28) in (27), one can achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} (f_1(2v) - 2f_1(v), T_O \mathcal{K}) &= \mathcal{F} \left((f(4v) - 8f(2v)) - 2(f(2v) - 8f(v)), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (29), one can have

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2v)}{2} - f_1(v), \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (30)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Setting v by $2^q v$ in (30), one can obtain

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{q+1}v)}{2} - f_1(2^q v), \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(2^q v, 2^q v, 2^q v, 2^q v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (31)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Using (4), (FNS3) in (31), one can arrive

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{q+1}v)}{2} - f_1(2^q v), \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \frac{\mathcal{K}}{r^q} \right) \quad (32)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (32), one can see

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{q+1}v)}{2^{(q+1)}} - \frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q}, \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2 \cdot 2^q} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \frac{\mathcal{K}}{r^q} \right) \quad (33)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Putting \mathcal{K} by $\mathcal{K} r^q$ in (33), one can get

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{q+1}v)}{2^{(q+1)}} - \frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q}, \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^q \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (34)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. One can verify that

$$\frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q} - f_1(v) = \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \left[\frac{f_1(2^{s+1}v)}{2^{(s+1)}} - \frac{f_1(2^s v)}{2^s} \right] \quad (35)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. From (34) and (35), one can have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q} - f_1(v), \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^s \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \bigcup_{s=0}^{q-1} \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{s+1} v)}{2^{s+1}} - \frac{f_1(2^s v)}{2^s}, \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^d \right) \right\} \\ & \geq \min \bigcup_{s=0}^{q-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} = \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Substituting v by $2^{q'} v$ in (36) and using (4), (FNS3) and changing \mathcal{K} by $r^{q'} \mathcal{K}$, one can obtain

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{q+q'} v)}{2^{(q+q')}} - \frac{f_1(2^{q'} v)}{2^{q'}}, \frac{T_O \mathcal{K}}{2} \cdot \sum_{s=q'}^{q+q'-1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^s \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (37)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ and all $q' > q \geq 0$. It follows from (37), one can get

$$\mathcal{F} \left(\frac{f_1(2^{q+q'} v)}{2^{(q+q')}} - \frac{f_1(2^{q'} v)}{2^{q'}}, T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \frac{\mathcal{K}}{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{s=q'}^{q+q'-1} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^s} \right) \quad (38)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. By data

$$0 < r < 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{s=0}^q \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^s < \infty,$$

and (FNS5) implies that

$$\left\{ \frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q} \right\} \text{ is Cauchy sequence in } (H_2, N').$$

Since (H_2, N') is complete and this sequence converges to some point $\mathcal{A} \in H_2$. So one can define a mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ by

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{A}(v) - \frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q}, \mathcal{K} \right) = 1 \quad (39)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{H} > 0$. Taking $q' = 0$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$ in (38), one can get

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}(v) - f_1(v), T_O \mathcal{H}) \geq \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{H}(2 - r))$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{H} > 0$. To prove \mathcal{A} satisfies the (1), substituting (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) by $(2^q v_1, 2^q w_1, 2^q v_2, 2^q w_2)$ in (4), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{H}) &= \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{2^q} F^{1234}(2^q v_1, 2^q w_1, 2^q v_2, 2^q w_2), \mathcal{H}\right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{L}_O(2^q v_1, 2^q w_1, 2^q v_2, 2^q w_2), 2^q \mathcal{H}) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{H} > 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(tv_k + w_k) + \mathcal{A}(w_k - tv_k)) - t^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(v_k + w_k) + \mathcal{A}(w_k - v_k))\right. \\ &- 2(1 - t^2) \sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(w_k)) - \frac{(t^4 - t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(2v_k) + \mathcal{A}(-2v_k) - 4\mathcal{A}(v_k) - 4\mathcal{A}(-v_k)), 5\mathcal{H}) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(tv_k + w_k) + \mathcal{A}(w_k - tv_k))\right); \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^2 (f(t2^q v_k + 2^q w_k) + f(2^q w_k - t2^q v_k)), \mathcal{H}\right), \\ &\mathcal{F}\left(-t^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(v_k + w_k) + \mathcal{A}(w_k - v_k)); \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t^2}{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^2 (f(2^q v_k + 2^q w_k) + f(2^q w_k - 2^q v_k)), \mathcal{H}\right), \\ &\mathcal{F}\left(-2(1 - t^2) \sum_{k=1}^2 (\mathcal{A}(w_k)) + \frac{2(1 - t^2)}{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^2 (f(2^q w_k)), \mathcal{H}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{F} \left(-\frac{(t^4-t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\mathcal{A}(2v_k) + \mathcal{A}(-2v_k) - 4\mathcal{A}(v_k) - 4\mathcal{A}(-v_k) \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2^q} \frac{(t^4-t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(2 \cdot 2^q v_k) + f(-2 \cdot 2^q v_k) - 4f(2^q v_k) - 4f(-2^q v_k) \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \\
 & \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{1}{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(t2^q v_k + 2^q w_k) + f(2^q w_k - t2^q v_k) \right) \right. \\
 & \quad - \frac{t^2}{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(2^q v_k + 2^q w_k) + f(2^q w_k - 2^q v_k) \right) - \frac{2(1-t^2)}{2^q} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(2^q w_k) \right) \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2^q} \frac{(t^4-t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(f(2 \cdot 2^q v_k) + f(-2 \cdot 2^q v_k) - 4f(2^q v_k) - 4f(-2^q v_k) \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \Big\} \\
 & \tag{41}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Using (39), (40), (FNS5) in (41), one can reach

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{F} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\mathcal{A}(tv_k + w_k) + \mathcal{A}(w_k - tv_k) \right) - t^2 \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\mathcal{A}(v_k + w_k) + \mathcal{A}(w_k - v_k) \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - 2(1-t^2) \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\mathcal{A}(w_k) \right) - \frac{(t^4-t^2)}{12} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\mathcal{A}(2v_k) + \mathcal{A}(-2v_k) - 4\mathcal{A}(v_k) - 4\mathcal{A}(-v_k) \right), 5\mathcal{K} \right) \\
 & \geq \min \left\{ 1, 1, 1, 1, \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O(2^q v_1, 2^q w_1, 2^q v_2, 2^q w_2), 2^q \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\
 & \tag{42}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Applying (3), (FNS2) and approaching q tends to infinity in (42) one can see that \mathcal{A} satisfies the functional equation (1). To prove $\mathcal{A}(v)$ is unique, let $\mathcal{A}'(v)$ be another additive functional equation satisfying (1) and (7). So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{A}'(v), \mathcal{K} \right) = \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}(2^q v)}{2^q} - \frac{\mathcal{A}'(2^q v)}{2^q}, \mathcal{K} \right) \\
 & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}(2^q v)}{2^q} - \frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q}, \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2} \right), \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}'(2^q v)}{2^q} - \frac{f_1(2^q v)}{2^q}, \frac{\mathcal{K}}{2} \right) \right\} \\
 & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(2^q v, 2^q v, 2^q v, 2^q v), \frac{\mathcal{K}(2-r)2^q}{2} \right) \\
 & = \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \frac{\mathcal{K}(2-r)2^q}{2 \cdot r^q} \right) \\
 & \tag{43}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Since

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(2-r)2^q}{2 \cdot r^q} = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \frac{\mathcal{K}(2-r)2^q}{2 \cdot r^q} \right) = 1$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. From (43), one can have

$$N(\mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{A}'(v), \mathcal{K}) = 1$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. So, $\mathcal{A}(v) = \mathcal{A}'(v)$. Hence $\mathcal{A}(v)$ is unique. Therefore for $p = 1$ the theorem holds.

Replacing v by $\frac{v}{2}$ in (29), one can arrive

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f_1(v) - 2f_1\left(\frac{v}{2}\right), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} \left(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2}, \frac{v}{2} \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (44)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of case $p = 1$. Hence the theorem holds for the case $p = -1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 2.2 An odd mapping $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is gratifying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}'(i, \mathcal{K}) \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j), \mathcal{K} \right), \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \left(f_1(v) - \mathcal{A}(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) &= \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 8f(v) - \mathcal{A}(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(11i, \left[4 + 2t^2 + 7 \right] \left| 2 - 1 \right| \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \left[24 + 5 \cdot 2^{j+1} + 2(1+t)^j + 2(1-t)^j + 2 \cdot 3^j + 2(1+2t)^j + 2(1-2t)^j \right] \|v\|^j, \right. \\ \left. \left[4 + 2t^2 + 7 \right] \left| 2 - 2^j \right| \mathcal{K} \right), j \neq 1; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Theorem 2.3 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an odd mapping satisfying the functional inequality (2) where $\mathcal{L}_O, \mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are functions with conditions

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O \left(2^{pq}v_1, 2^{pq}w_1, 2^{pq}v_2, 2^{pq}w_2 \right), 8^{pq} \mathcal{K} \right) = 1 \quad (47)$$

and (4) for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{8}\right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f_3(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) = \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 2f(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (48)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v)$ and T_O are defined in (6), (7) and $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is given by

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{C}(v) - \frac{f_3(2^{pq}v)}{8^{pq}}, \mathcal{K} \right) = 1; \quad (49)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Proof: Define a function

$$f_3(v) = f(2v) - 2f(v) \quad (50)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. Using (50) in (27), one can achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \left(f_3(2v) - 8f_3(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) &= \mathcal{F} \left(\left(f(4v) - 2f(2v) \right) - 8 \left(f(2v) - 2f(v) \right), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4 Suppose $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an odd mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(i, \mathcal{K} \right) \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} (f_3(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), T_O \mathcal{K}) &= \mathcal{F} (f(2v) - 2f(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), T_O \mathcal{K}) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(11i, \left[4 + 2t^2 + 7 \right] \left| 8 - 1 \right| \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \left[24 + 5 \cdot 2^{j+1} + 2(1+t)^j + 2(1-t)^j + 2.3^j + 2(1+2t)^j + 2(1-2t)^j \right] \|v\|^j, \right. \\ \left. \left[4 + 2t^2 + 7 \right] \left| 8 - 2^j \right| \mathcal{K} \right), j \neq 3; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Theorem 2.5 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an odd mapping gratifying the functional inequality (2) where $\mathcal{L}_O, \mathcal{L}_O^{ODD} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are functions with the conditions (3), (4), (47) for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{8}\right)^p < \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), \frac{2}{6} T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (54)$$

where $T_O \mathcal{A}(v)$, $\mathcal{C}(v)$ are given in (7), (7), (49) for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Proof: By Theorem 2.1, there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A}^O : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 8f(v) - \mathcal{A}^O(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (55)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. By Theorem 2.3, there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C}^O : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 2f(v) - \mathcal{C}^O(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (56)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{F} \left(-6f(v) - \mathcal{A}^O(v) + \mathcal{C}^O(v), 2T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 8f(v) - \mathcal{A}^O(v) - f(2v) + 2f(v) + \mathcal{C}^O(v), 2T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 8f(v) - \mathcal{A}^O(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 2f(v) - \mathcal{C}^O(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_O^{ODD}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{57}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (56), one can get

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(v) + \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}^O(v) - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{C}^O(v), \frac{2}{6}T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{58}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Thus in (58), if we take

$$\mathcal{A}(v) = -\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}^O(v); \quad \mathcal{C}(v) = \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{C}^O(v)$$

we arrive (54) as desired.

Corollary 2.6 Suppose $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an odd mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}'(i, \mathcal{K}) \\ \mathcal{F}'(i \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j), \mathcal{K}), \end{cases} \tag{59}$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} :$

$H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), \frac{2}{6} T_0 \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(22i, [4 + 2t^2 + 7] \left\{ |2-1| + |8-1| \right\} \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(2i \left[24 + 5 \cdot 2^{j+1} + 2(1+t)^j + 2(1-t)^j + 2 \cdot 3^j + 2(1+2t)^j + 2(1-2t)^j \right] \|v\|^j, \right. \\ \left. [4 + 2t^2 + 7] \left\{ |2-2^j| + |8-2^j| \right\} \mathcal{K} \right), j \neq 1, 3; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

3. Fuzzy Stability Theorem: Even Case

Theorem 3.1 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (61)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_E, \mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are functions with the conditions

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E \left(2^{pq} v_1, 2^{pq} w_1, 2^{pq} v_2, 2^{pq} w_2 \right), 4^{pq} \mathcal{K} \right) = 1 \quad (62)$$

$$\mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} \left(2^p v, 2^p v, 2^p v, 2^p v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(r^p \mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (63)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) = \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 16f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (64)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} \left(v, v, v, v \right)$, $\mathcal{Q}_2(v)$ and T_E are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} (v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &= \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (v, 0, v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (2v, 0, 2v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (v, tv, v, tv), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \frac{f_2(2^{pq}v)}{4^{pq}}, \mathcal{K} \right) = 1; \quad T_E = \left(\frac{48}{2(t^4 - t^2)} \right) \quad (66)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Proof: For evenness of f in (61), one can arrive

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f(tv_1 + w_1) + f(w_1 - tv_1) + f(tv_2 + w_2) + f(w_2 - tv_2) \right. \\ & \quad - t^2 [f(v_1 + w_1) + f(w_1 - v_1) + f(v_2 + w_2) + f(w_2 - v_2)] - 2(1 - t^2) [f(w_1) + f(w_2)] \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{2(t^4 - t^2)}{12} [f(2v_1) - 4f(v_1) + f(2v_2) - 4f(v_2)], \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Replacing (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) by $(v, 0, v, 0)$ in (67), one can reach

$$\mathcal{F} \left(4f(tv) - 4t^2 f(v) - \frac{2(t^4 - t^2)}{12} [f(2v) - 4f(v)], \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (v, 0, v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (68)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (68), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(48(1 - t^2)f(tv) - 48(1 - t^2)t^2 f(v) - 4(t^4 - t^2)(1 - t^2) [f(2v) - 4f(v)], 12(1 - t^2) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (v, 0, v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Replacing v by $2v$ in (68), one can have

$$\mathcal{F} \left(4f(2tv) - 4t^2 f(2v) - \frac{2(t^4 - t^2)}{12} [f(4v) - 4f(2v)], \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E (2v, 0, 2v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (70)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (70), one can get

$$\mathcal{F} \left(24f(2tv) - 24t^2f(2v) - 2(t^4 - t^2)[f(4v) - 4f(2v)], 6\mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(2v, 0, 2v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (71)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Interchanging (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) by (v, v, v, v) in (67), one can find

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2f((1+t)v) + 2f((1-t)v) - 2t^2f(2v) - 4(1-t^2)f(v) - \frac{2(t^4 - t^2)}{12}[f(2v) - 4f(v)], \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (72), one can reach

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(24t^2f((1+t)v) + 24t^2f((1-t)v) - 24t^4f(2v) - 48t^2(1-t^2)f(v) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 4(t^4 - t^2)t^2[f(2v) - 4f(v)], 12t^2\mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Interchanging (v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) by (v, tv, v, tv) in (67), one can find

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(2f(2tv) - 2t^2[f((1+t)v) + f((1-t)v)] - 4(1-t^2)f(tv) - \frac{2(t^4 - t^2)}{12}[f(2v) - 4f(v)], \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, tv, v, tv), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (74), one can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(24f(2tv) - 24t^2[f((1+t)v) + f((1-t)v)] - 48(1-t^2)f(tv) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 4(t^4 - t^2)[f(2v) - 4f(v)], 12\mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, tv, v, tv), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS4) and (69), (71), (73), (75), one can find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{F} \left(2(t^4 - t^2) \left(f(4v) - 20f(2v) + 64f(v) \right), 48 \mathcal{K} \right) \\
 & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left(48(1 - t^2)f(tv) - 48(1 - t^2)t^2f(v) - 4(t^4 - t^2)(1 - t^2)[f(2v) \right. \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. - 4f(v)], 12(1 - t^2)\mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\
 & \quad \mathcal{F} \left(24f(2tv) - 24t^2f(2v) - 2(t^4 - t^2)[f(4v) - 4f(2v)], 6\mathcal{K} \right), \\
 & \quad \mathcal{F} \left(24t^2f((1 + t)v) + 24t^2f((1 - t)v) - 24t^4f(2v) - 48t^2(1 - t^2)f(v) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - 4(t^4 - t^2)t^2[f(2v) - 4f(v)], 12t^2\mathcal{K} \right), \\
 & \quad \mathcal{F} \left(24f(2tv) - 24t^2[f((1 + t)v) + f((1 - t)v)] - 48(1 - t^2)f(tv) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. - 4(t^4 - t^2)[f(2v) - 4f(v)], 12\mathcal{K} \right) \} \\
 & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, 0, v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(2v, 0, 2v, 0), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E(v, tv, v, tv), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\
 & = \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{76}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (76), one can find

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(4v) - 20f(2v) + 64f(v), \frac{48}{2(t^4 - t^2)} \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{77}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Set $T_E = \left(\frac{48}{2(t^4 - t^2)} \right)$ in (77), one can see

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(4v) - 20f(2v) + 64f(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{78}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Define a function

$$f_2(v) = f(2v) - 16f(v) \tag{79}$$

for all $v \in H_1$. Using (79) in (78), one can achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F} \left(f_2(2v) - 4f_2(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) &= \mathcal{F} \left(\left(f(4v) - 16f(2v) \right) - 4 \left(f(2v) - 16f(v) \right), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \\
 &\geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{80}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.2 Suppose $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an even mapping gratifying functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(i, \mathcal{K} \right) \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j \right), \mathcal{K} \right), \end{cases} \quad (81)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} \left(f_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) &= \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 16f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v), T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(i, |4-1| \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \left[8 + 2^{j+1} + 2 \cdot i^j \right] \|v\|^j, 4 |4-2^j| \mathcal{K} \right), j \neq 2; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Theorem 3.3 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality (61) where $\mathcal{L}_E, \mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are functions with conditions

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E \left(2^{pq} v_1, 2^{pq} w_1, 2^{pq} v_2, 2^{pq} w_2 \right), 16^{pq} \mathcal{K} \right) = 1 \quad (83)$$

and (63) for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{16} \right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f_4(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) = \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 4f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} \left(v, v, v, v \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (84)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v)$, and T_E (65), (66) and $\mathcal{Q}_4(v)$ is given by

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{Q}_4(v) - \frac{f_4(2^{pq}v)}{4^{pq}}, \mathcal{K} \right) = 1 \quad (85)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Proof: Define a function

$$f_4(v) = f(2v) - 4f(v) \quad (86)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. Using (86) in (78), one can achieve

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} (f_4(2v) - 16f_4(v), T_E \mathcal{K}) &= \mathcal{F} \left((f(4v) - 4f(2v)) - 16(f(2v) - 4f(v)), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{even}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 3.4 Suppose $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' (i, \mathcal{K}) \\ \mathcal{F}' (i \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j), \mathcal{K}), \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} (f_4(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), T_E \mathcal{K}) &= \mathcal{F} (f(2v) - 4f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), T_E \mathcal{K}) \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' (i, |16 - 1| \mathcal{K}), \\ \mathcal{F}' (i [8 + 2^{j+1} + 2 \cdot t^j] \|v\|^j, 4 |16 - 2^j| \mathcal{K}), j \neq 4; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Theorem 3.5 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an even mapping satisfying the functional inequality (61) where $\mathcal{L}_E, \mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are function with

conditions (62), (63), (83) for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^p < \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \frac{2}{12} T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{AQ}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (90)$$

where $T_E, \mathcal{Q}_2(v), \mathcal{Q}_4(v)$ are given in (66), (85) for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Proof: By Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2^O : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} (f(2v) - 16f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v), T_E \mathcal{K}) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (91)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. By Theorem 3.3, there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4^O : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} (f(2v) - 4f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), T_E \mathcal{K}) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (92)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(-12f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2^E(v) + \mathcal{Q}_4^E(v), 2T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 16f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2^E(v) - f(2v) + 4f(v) + \mathcal{Q}_4^E(v), 2T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 16f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2^E(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F} \left(f(2v) - 4f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4^E(v), T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_E^{EVEN}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{AQ}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. With the help of (FNS3) in (93), one can get

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(v) + \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_2^E(v) - \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_4^E(v), \frac{2}{12} T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{AQ}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (94)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Thus in (94), if we take

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(v) = -\frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_2^E(v); \quad \mathcal{Q}_4(v) = \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{Q}_4^E(v)$$

we arrive (90) as desired.

Corollary 3.6 Suppose $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(i, \mathcal{K} \right) \right\}, \\ \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\}, \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \frac{2}{12} T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(2i, \left\{ |4-1| + |16-1| \right\} \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(2i \left[8 + 2^{j+1} + 2 \cdot t^j \right] \|v\|^j, 4 \left\{ |4-2^j| + |16-2^j| \right\} \mathcal{K} \right), j \neq 2, 4; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

4. Fuzzy Stability Theorem: Odd - Even Case

Theorem 4.1 Assume $p = \pm 1$ and let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{Z} \left(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \right), \mathcal{K} \right) \quad (97)$$

where $\mathcal{Z} : H_1^4 \rightarrow H_3$ are functions with conditions (3), (4), (47), (62), (63), (83), for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$, for some $r > 0$ with $0 < \left(\frac{r}{16}\right)^p < \left(\frac{r}{8}\right)^p < \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^p < \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^p < 1$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$, a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$, a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1)

and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{C}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \left(\frac{2}{6}T_O + \frac{2}{12}T_E \right) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(-v, -v, -v, -v), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(-v, -v, -v, -v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where $(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(v, v, v, v))$; are defined in (56, (93), and $\mathcal{A}(v), \mathcal{C}(v), \mathcal{Q}_2(v), \mathcal{Q}_4(v), T_O, T_E$ is given in (7), (49) (66), (85) for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Proof: Assume a function

$$f_{AC}(v) = \frac{f(v) - f(-v)}{2} \quad (99)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. It is easy to see from (99) that

$$f_{AC}(v) = 0 \text{ and } f_{AC}(-v) = -f_{AC}(v) \quad (100)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. So by (FNS3) and (FNS4) with the help of (99), one can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) - F^{1234}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2) \right], \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) - F^{1234}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2), 2\mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) + \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) + \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

By Theorem 2.5 and (101), there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1)

and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f_{AC}(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), \frac{2}{6}T_O \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) + \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(-v, -v, -v, -v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Again assume a function

$$f_{QQ}(v) = \frac{f(v) + f(-v)}{2} \quad (103)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. It is easy to see from (103) that

$$f_{QQ}(v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{QQ}(-v) = f_{AC}(v) \quad (104)$$

for all $v \in H_1$. So by (FNS3) and (FNS4) with the help of (103), one can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) + F^{1234}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2) \right], \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2) + F^{1234}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2), 2\mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) + \mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) + \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}(-v_1, -w_1, -v_2, -w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

By Theorem 3.5 and (105), there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f_{QQ}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \frac{2}{12}T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \\ & \geq \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right) + \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(-v, -v, -v, -v), \mathcal{K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

Finally assume a function

$$f(v) = f_{AC}(v) + f_{QQ}(v) \tag{107}$$

for all $v \in H_1$. It follows from (107), (106), (102) one can arrive

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{C}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \left(\frac{2}{6}T_O + \frac{2}{12}T_E \right) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left(f_{AC}(v) + f_{QQ}(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{C}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \left(\frac{2}{6}T_O + \frac{2}{12}T_E \right) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left(\left([f_{AC}(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{C}(v)] + [f_{QQ}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v)] \right), \left(\frac{2}{6}T_O + \frac{2}{12}T_E \right) \mathcal{K} \right) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left(f_{AC}(v) - \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{C}(v), \frac{2}{6}T_O \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F} \left(f_{QQ}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \frac{2}{12}T_E \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{1A}^{3C}(-v, -v, -v, -v), \mathcal{K} \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(v, v, v, v), \mathcal{K} \right), \mathcal{F}' \left(\mathcal{L}_{2Q}^{4Q}(-v, -v, -v, -v), \mathcal{K} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Hence the proof is complete.

Corollary 4.2 Suppose $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be an odd mapping satisfying the functional inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(F^{1234}(v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2), \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}'(i, \mathcal{K}) \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(i \sum_{k=1}^2 (\|v_k\|^j + \|w_k\|^j), \mathcal{K} \right), \end{cases} \tag{108}$$

for all $v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2 \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$ with i being positive constant. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$, a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$, a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ and a unique quartic mapping

$\mathcal{Q}_4 : H_1 \longrightarrow H_2$ which satisfies the functional equation (1) and the inequality

$$\mathcal{F} \left(f(v) - \mathcal{A}v - \mathcal{Q}_2(v) - \mathcal{C}(v) - \mathcal{Q}_4(v), \left(\frac{2}{6}T_O + \frac{2}{12}T_E \right) \mathcal{K} \right) \geq \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}' \left(24i, \left([4 + 2t^2 + 7] \{ |2-1| + |8-1| \} + \{ |4-1| + |16-1| \} \right) \mathcal{K} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}' \left(2i \left\{ [24 + 5 \cdot 2^{j+1} + 2(1+t)^j + 2(1-t)^j + 2 \cdot 3^j + 2(1+2t)^j + 2(1-2t)^j] \right. \right. \\ \quad \left. \left. + [8 + 2^{j+1} + 2 \cdot t^j] \right\} \|v\|^j, \right. \\ \quad \left. [4 + 2t^2 + 7] \{ |2-2^j| + |8-2^j| \} + 4 \{ |4-2^j| + |16-2^j| \} \mathcal{K} \right), j \neq 1, 2, 3, 4; \end{cases} \quad (109)$$

for all $v \in H_1$ and all $\mathcal{K} > 0$.

5. Fuzzy Applications

In this section, we will discuss the fields where the concepts of fuzzy logic are extensively applied. To begin with in Aerospace Fuzzy logic is used in various areas such as Altitude control of Spacecraft, Satellite altitude control, flow and mixture regulation in aircraft deicing vehicles. In automotive, fuzzy logic is used in different sections such as trainable fuzzy systems for idle speed control, shift scheduling method for automatic transmission, Intelligent highway systems, Traffic Control and improving efficiency of automatic transmissions.

In addition, in business, this logic can play as a decision and personal evaluation in a large company. Likewise, in defense, this helps people the recognize the under water targets can spontaneously recognize the thermal infrared images, works as decision support aids, controller of a hypervelocity interceptor and this can be used in fuzzy set modelling of NATO decision making.

Further more, In Electronics, this Fuzzy logic puts its marks higher. Also in the manufacturing department it is used to optimize the cheese and milk production. In order to bring out the transportations to the next level the fuzzy logic used in different aspects with transports such as autopilot for ships, optimal route selection, control of autonomous under water vehicles, ship steering, automatic operation in train operation, schedule, acceleration breaking and stopping.

In Electronics while manufacturing of chips, the approximation between the chips

we use functional equations in order to get the correct output. This logic is extended for medical field too. It is used as a medical diagnostic support system, control of a sterile pressure during anesthesia, Radiology diagnoses and diagnosis of diabetes and prostate cancer.

6. Conclusion

In this paper with the help of classical Hyers Method, we analyze the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of a mixed AQ_2CQ_4 functional equation in Fuzzy Banach spaces. The stability results gives better possible upper bound when comparing to previous stability results.

References

- [1] Aczel J and Dhombres J, Functional Equations in Several Variables, Cambridge Univ, Press, 1989.
- [2] Ait SiBaha M, Bouikhalene B and Elquorachi E , Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability of a linear functional equation, Intern. J. Math. Sta. 7(Fe07), 157-166(2007).
- [3] Aoki T, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 , 64-66(1950).
- [4] Arunkumar M, Three Dimensional Quartic Functional Equation In Fuzzy Normed Spaces, Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics, 41(2), 83-94, (2010).
- [5] Arunkumar M, Ganapathy G, Murthy S, Karthikeyan S, Stability of the Generalized Arun-additive functional equation in Intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, International Journal Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications, Vol.4, No. V, 135-146, (2010).
- [6] Arunkumar M, Rassias John M , Karthikeyan S, Stability Of A Leibniz Type Additive And Quadratic Functional Equation In Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normed Spaces, Advances in Theoretical and Applied Mathematics, Volume 11, Number 2 , pp. 145-169(2016).
- [7] Arunkumar M, Devi Shyamala Mary M, Generalized Hyers - Ulam stability of additive - quadratic - cubic - quartic functional equation in fuzzy normed spaces: A direct method, International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications, Volume 4, Issue 4 , 1-16(2016).

- [8] Arunkumar M, Devi Shyamala Mary M, Generalized Hyers - Ulam stability of additive - quadratic - cubic - quartic functional equation in fuzzy normed spaces: A fixed point approach, *International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications*, Volume 4, Issue 4 , 16-32(2016).
- [9] Arunkumar M and Sathya E, Hyers type Fuzzy stability of a radical reciprocal quadratic functional equation originating from 3 dimensional Pythagorean Means, *International Journal Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 23-33 , (2017).
- [10] Arunkumar M, Sathya E, Fuzzy Stability Of A Additive Quadratic Functional Equation, *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 9-16, (2019).
- [11] Bag T, Samanta S K, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, *J. Fuzzy Math.* 11 (3) 687-705(2003) .
- [12] Bag T, Samanta S K, Fuzzy bounded linear operators, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 151, 513-547(2005).
- [13] Balamurugan K, Arunkumar M, Ravindiran M, A Fixed point approach to the stability of a mixed Additive Quadratic Cubic Quartic (AQCQ) functional equation in quasi-b-normed spaces, *Malaya J. Mat.* S(1), 153-171 (2015).
- [14] Bodaghi A, Arunkumar M, Karthikeyan S, Sathya E, Generalized Hyers - Ulam Stability of Functional Equation Deriving from Additive and Quadratic Functions in Fuzzy Banach Space Via Two Different Techniques, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, Volume 6, Issue 1, 242-260, (2018).
- [15] Cheng S C, Mordeson J N, Fuzzy linear operator and fuzzy normed linear spaces, *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.* 86, 429-436(1994).
- [16] Czerwik S, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [17] Gavruta P, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 , 431-436 (1994).
- [18] Gordji M E, Kaboli Gharetapeh S, Moslehian M S, Zolfaghari S , Stability of a Mixed Type Additive, Quadratic, Cubic and Quartic Functional Equation, Part of the Springer Optimization and Its Applications book series (SOIA, volume 35), January 2009.

- [19] Hyers D H, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.,27) 222-224 (1941).
- [20] Hyers D H, Isac G, Rassias Th M, Stability of functional equations in several variables,Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [21] Jung S M, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001..
- [22] Kannappan Pl, Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [23] Lee J R, Kim J H, Park C, A Fixed Point Approach to the Stability of an Additive-Quadratic-Cubic-Quartic Functional Equation , Hindawi Publishing Corporation Fixed Point Theory and Applications Volume 2010, Article ID 185780, 16 pages doi:10.1155/2010/185780.
- [24] Mirmostafae A K, Moslehian M S, Fuzzy versions of Hyers-Ulam-Rassias theorem, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 159, no. 6, 720-729, (2008).
- [25] Mirmostafae A K, Mirzavaziri M, Moslehian M S, Fuzzy stability of the Jensen functional equation, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 159, no. 6, 730-738, (2008).
- [26] Mirmostafae A K, Moslehian M S, Fuzzy approximately cubic mappings, Information Sciences, Vol. 178, no. 19, 3791-3798, (2008).
- [27] Mirmostafae A K, M. S. Moslehian M S, Fuzzy almost quadratic functions, Results in Mathematics, 52, no. 1-2, 161-177, (2008).
- [28] Park C, Jo S W, Kho D Y , On the Stability of an AQCQ functional equation, Journal of Chungcheong Mathematical Society Volume 22, No. 4, December 2009.
- [29] Pinelas S, Arunkumar M, Namachivayam T, Sathya E, General Solution And Two Methods Of Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability Of N- Dimensional AQCQ Functional Equation In Banach Spaces, Malaya Journal of Matematik, 5(2) , 202-240(2017).
- [30] Pinelas S, Arunkumar M, Mahesh Kumar N, Sathya E, Stability of General Quadratic - CubicQuartic Functional Equation in Quasi Beta Banach Space Via Two Dissimilar Methods, Malaya Journal of Matematik, Volume 6, Issue 1, 113-128, 2018.

- [31] Rassias J M, On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, *J. Funct. Anal. USA*, 46, 126-130(1982) .
- [32] Rassias John M, Arunkumar M, Sathya E, Mahesh Kumar N, Solution And Stability Of A ACQ Functional Equation In Generalized 2-Normed Spaces, *Intern. J. Fuzzy Mathematical Archive*, Vol. 7, No. 2 213-224 (2015).
- [33] Rassias John M, Arunkumar M, Mahesh Kumar N, General Solution And Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability Of A Generalized 3 Dimensional AQCQ Functional Equation, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 5(1) , 14985(2017).
- [34] Rassias John M, Arunkumar M, Sathya E, Mahesh Kumar N, Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability Of A (AQQ): Additive QuadraticQuartic Functional Equation, *Malaya Journal of Matematik*, 5(1) , 122-142(2017).
- [35] Rassias John Micheal , Arunkumar Mohan, Sathya Elumalai, Generalized Ulam - Hyers Stability Of An Alternate Additive - Quadratic - Quartic Functional Equation In Fuzzy Banach Spaces, *Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications*, Vol. 3, Issue 1, 13-31, (2021).
- [36] Rassias Th M, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc.Amer.Math. Soc.*, 72 , 297-300(1978).
- [37] Rassias Th M, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Acedamic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston London, 2003.
- [38] Ravi K, Arunkumar M, Rassias J M, On the Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Autumn 2008 Vol.3, No. 08, 36-47.
- [39] Ravi K, Rassias J M, Arunkumar M, Kodandan R, Stability of a generalized mixed type additive, quadratic, cubic and quartic functional equation , *J. Pure and Appl. Math. JIPAM* 10, Issue 4, Article 114, 1-29(2009).
- [40] Ravi K, Arunkumar M, Narasimman P, Fuzzy stability of a Additive functional equation, *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 9, No. A11, (Autumn 2011), 88-105.
- [41] Ulam S.M, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, NewYork, 1964.

- [42] Xiao T Z, Zhu X H, Fuzzy normed spaces of operators and its completeness, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 133, 389-399(2003) .