DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3



Journal of Computational Mathematica

Open Access

Oscillation of Even Order Impulsive Neutral Partial Differential Equations with Distributed Deviating Arguments

Sadhasivam V^{1*} , Kalaimani T^2 and Raja T^3 1,2,3 Department of Mathematics, Thiruvalluvar Government Arts College, Rasipuram - 637 401, Namakkal (Dt), Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we will consider a class of boundary value problems associated with even order nonlinear impulsive neutral partial functional differential equations with continuous distributed deviating arguments. Sufficient conditions are obtained for the oscillation of solutions using impulsive differential inequalities and integral averaging scheme with pair of boundary conditions. Examples are specified to point up our important results.

Key Words: Neutral partial differential equations, Oscillation, Impulse, Distributed deviating arguments.

AMS Classification: 35B05, 35L70, 35R10, 35R12.

1. Introduction

The oscillation theory of ordinary differential equations marks its initiation through the research article of C.Sturm [19] in 1836 and for partial differential equations by P.Hartman and A.Wintner [6] in 1955. In 1989, the early work on impulsive delay differential equations [3] was published and its results were included in monograph [9]. After two years the most important exertion concluded in [2] on impulsive partial differential equations in 1991. Numerous substantial phenomena are articulated in terms of second order equations. The theoretical background of the second and even order equations are nearly common and for this reason, we study the even order equations. Impulsive ordinary and partial functional differential equations have wide range of applications in a variety of fields of science and machinery [1, 8, 18, 24]. The oscillation of impulsive and non-impulsive parabolic and hyperbolic equations has been widely studied in the literature [13, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 25]. Curiously very few significant consequences on higher order partial differential equations with continuous distributed deviating

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

arguments have been studied in [4, 10, 11, 12, 23]. But these are not considered with impulsive force. Consequently, it is necessary to study with impulse effect on the oscillation of higher order partial differential equations. To the best of authors' acquaintance, there are no theoretical results on the oscillation of higher order nonlinear impulsive neutral partial differential equations with continuous distributed deviating arguments. In this fashion, we initiate oscillatory results for even order nonlinear impulsive neutral partial differential equations with continuous distributed deviating arguments of the type (E), $(B_1)[(E),(B_2)]$. Focal results of this manuscript expand and improve numerous findings in the earlier publications of non-impulse We think likely that this primary work attain the absorption of numerous researchers working on the even order impulsive partial functional differential equations. In this work, we focus on the following even order nonlinear impulsive neutral partial functional differential equation with continuous distributed deviating arguments

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m} \left[u(x,t) + c(t)u(x,\tau(t)) \right] + \int_a^b q(x,t,\xi) f(u(x,\sigma(t,\xi))) d\eta(\xi)$$

$$= a(t)\Delta u(x,t) - \int_a^b b(t,\xi) \Delta u(x,\rho(t,\xi)) d\eta(\xi), \qquad t \neq t_k, \ (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,+\infty) \equiv G$$

$$\frac{\partial^{(i)} u(x,t_k^+)}{\partial t^{(i)}} = I_k^{(i)} \left(x,t_k, \frac{\partial^{(i)} u(x,t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}} \right), \quad t = t_k, \quad k = 1,2,\cdots, i = 0,1,2,\cdots, m-1$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and Δ is the Laplacian in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N . Equation (E) is enhancement with one of the subsequent Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions,

$$u = 0, \qquad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$
 (B₁)

$$u = 0, (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$
 (B₁)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} + \mu(x,t)u = 0, (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$
 (B₂)

where γ - outer surface normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ and $\mu(x,t) \in C(\partial\Omega \times [0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$. In the sequel, we assume that the following hypotheses (H) hold:

- (H_1) $a(t) \in PC([0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$, where PC represents the class of functions which are piecewise continuous in t with discontinuities of first kind only at $t = t_k$, k = $1, 2, \dots,$ and left continuous at $t = t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, \tau(t) \in C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{t\to +\infty} \tau(t) = +\infty.$
- (H_2) $c(t) \in C^m([0,+\infty),[0,+\infty)), \ q(x,t,\xi) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0,+\infty) \times [a,b],[0,+\infty)),$ $Q(t,\xi) = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x,t,\xi), \ b(t,\xi) \in C([0,+\infty) \times [a,b], [0,+\infty)), \ f(u) \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

is convex in $[0, +\infty)$, uf(u) > 0 and $\frac{f(u)}{u} \ge \epsilon > 0$ for $u \ne 0$.

- (H_3) $\sigma(t,\xi), \ \rho(t,\xi) \in C([0,+\infty) \times [a,b], \mathbb{R}), \ \sigma(t,\xi) \leq t, \ \rho(t,\xi) \leq t$ for $\xi \in [a,b],$ $\sigma(t,\xi)$ and $\rho(t,\xi)$ are nondecreasing with respect to t and ξ respectively and $\lim_{t \to +\infty, \ \xi \in [a,b]} \sigma(t,\xi) = \lim_{t \to +\infty, \ \xi \in [a,b]} \rho(t,\xi) = +\infty, \ a,b$ are non-positive constants with a < b.
- (H₄) There exists a function $\theta(t) \in C([0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$ satisfying $\theta(t) \leq \sigma(t,a)$, $\theta'(t) > 0$ and $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \theta(t) = +\infty$, $\eta(\xi) : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is nondecreasing and the integral is a Stieltjes integral in (E).
- (H_5) $\frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x,t)}{\partial t^{(i)}}$ are piecewise continuous in t with discontinuities of first kind only at $t=t_k, \ k=1,2,\cdots$, and left continuous at $t=t_k, \ \frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x,t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}=\frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x,t_k^-)}{\partial t^{(i)}},$ $k=1,2,\cdots,\ i=0,1,2,\cdots,m-1.$
- $k=1,2,\cdots,i=0,1,2,\cdots,m-1.$ $(H_6)\ I_k^{(i)}\left(x,t_k,\frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x,t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}\right)\in PC(\bar{\Omega}\times[0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}),\ k=1,2,\cdots,\ i=0,1,2,\cdots,m-1,\ \text{and there exist positive constants}\ a_k^{(i)},b_k^{(i)}\ \text{with}\ b_k^{(m-1)}\leq a_k^{(0)}\ \text{such that for }i=0,1,2,\cdots,m-1,\ k=1,2,\cdots,$

$$a_k^{(i)} \leq \frac{I_k^{(i)}\left(x, t_k, \frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x, t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}\right)}{\frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x, t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}} \leq b_k^{(i)}.$$

This paper is considered as follows: Section 2, presents the definitions and notations. In section 3, we deal with the oscillation of the problem (E) and (B_1) . In section 4, we discuss the oscillation of the problem (E) and (B_2) . Section 5, presents examples to illustrate the main results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we begin with definitions and known results which are required throughout this paper.

Definition 2.1 A solution u of the problem (E) is a function $u \in C^m(\bar{\Omega} \times [t_{-1}, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\bar{\Omega} \times [\hat{t}_{-1}, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies (E), where

$$t_{-1} := \min \left\{ 0, \inf_{t \ge 0} \tau(t) \right\} \text{ and }$$

$$\hat{t}_{-1} := \min \left\{ 0, \min_{\xi \in [a,b]} \left\{ \inf_{t \ge 0} \sigma(t,\xi) \right\}, \min_{\xi \in [a,b]} \left\{ \inf_{t \ge 0} \rho(t,\xi) \right\} \right\}.$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Definition 2.2 The solution u of the problem $(E), (B_1)$ $[(E), (B_2)]$ is said to be oscillatory in the domain G if for any positive number ℓ there exist a point $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times [\ell, +\infty)$ such that $u(x_0, t_0) = 0$ holds.

Definition 2.3 A function V(t) is said to be eventually positive (negative) if there exists a $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that V(t) > 0 (< 0) holds for all $t \ge t_1$.

It is identified that [22] the least eigenvalue $\lambda_0 > 0$ of the eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta\omega(x) + \lambda\omega(x) = 0$$
in Ω
 $\omega(x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$

and the consequent eigenfunction $\Phi(x) > 0$ in Ω .

For each positive solution u(x,t) of the problem $(E),(B_1)$ $[(E),(B_2)]$ we combine the functions V(t) and $\tilde{V}(t)$ defined by

$$V(t) = K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \Phi(x) dx, \qquad \tilde{V}(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx,$$
$$F(t) = M(\theta(t))^{m-2} \theta'(t), \quad \text{and} \quad G(t) = \epsilon g_0 \int_a^b Q(t, \xi) d\eta(\xi)$$

where

$$K_{\Phi} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx\right)^{-1}, \quad |\Omega| = \int_{\Omega} dx, \quad \text{and} \quad g_0 = 1 - c(\sigma(t, \xi)).$$

Lemma 2.4 [7] Let y(t) be a positive and n times differentiable function on $[0, +\infty)$. If $y^{(n)}(t)$ is constant sign and not identically zero on any ray $[t_1, +\infty)$ for $t_1 > 0$, then there exists a $t_y \ge t_1$ and integer l $(0 \le l \le n)$, with n + l even for $y(t)y^{(n)}(t) \ge 0$ or n + l odd for $y(t)y^{(n)}(t) \le 0$; and for $t \ge t_y$, $y(t)y^{(k)}(t) > 0$, $0 \le k \le l$; $(-1)^{k-l}y(t)y^{(k)}(t) > 0$, $l \le k \le n$.

Lemma 2.5 [14] Suppose that the conditions of Lemma (2.4) is satisfied, and

$$y^{(n-1)}(t)y^{(n)}(t) \le 0, \quad t \ge t_y.$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Then there exist constant $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and M>0 such that for sufficiently large t

$$|y'(\alpha t)| \ge Mt^{n-2} |y^{(n-1)}(t)|.$$

Lemma 2.6 [5] If X and Y are nonnegative, then

$$X^{\alpha} - \alpha X Y^{\alpha - 1} + (\alpha - 1) Y^{\alpha} \ge 0, \quad \alpha > 1$$

$$X^{\alpha} - \alpha X Y^{\alpha - 1} - (1 - \alpha) Y^{\alpha} \le 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

where the equality holds if and only if X = Y.

3. Oscillation of the Problem (E) and (B_1)

In this section, we establish sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the problem (E), (B_1) .

Lemma 3.1 If the functional impulsive differential inequality

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + G(t)Z(\theta(t)) \le 0, \quad t \ne t_k$$

$$a_k^{(i)} \le \frac{\frac{\partial^{(i)}Z(t_k^+)}{\partial t^{(i)}}}{\frac{\partial^{(i)}Z(t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}} \le b_k^{(i)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{c} \end{array}\right\}$$

$$(1)$$

has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of the boundary value problem defined by (E) and (B_1) is oscillatory in G.

Proof: Assume that there exist a nonoscillatory solution u(x,t) of the boundary value problem (E), (B_1) and u(x,t) > 0. By the hypothesis (H_1) and (H_3) , that there exists a $t_1 > t_0 > 0$ such that $\tau(t) \geq t_0$, $\sigma(t,\xi)$, $\rho(t,\xi) \geq t_0$ for $(t,\xi) \in [t_1, +\infty) \times [a,b]$ for $t \geq t_1$, then

$$u(x,\tau(t)) > 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty),$$

$$u(x,\sigma(t,\xi)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t,\xi) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty) \times [a,b]$$
and
$$u(x,\rho(t,\xi)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t,\xi) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty) \times [a,b].$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

For $t \ge t_0$, $t \ne t_k$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, multiplying both sides of equation (E) by $K_{\Phi}\Phi(x) > 0$ and integrating with respect to x over the domain Ω , we attain

$$\frac{d^{m}}{dt^{m}} \left[\int_{\Omega} u(x,t) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} c(t) u(x,\tau(t)) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) dx \right]
+ \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q(x,t,\xi) f(u(x,\sigma(t,\xi))) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) d\eta(\xi) dx
= a(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} b(t,\xi) \Delta u(x,\rho(t,\xi)) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) d\eta(\xi) dx.$$
(2)

From Green's formula and boundary condition (B_1) , we see that

$$K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t) \Phi(x) dx = K_{\Phi} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[\Phi(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} - u \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial \gamma} \right] dS + K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \Delta \Phi(x) dx$$

$$= -\lambda_0 V(t) \le 0$$
(3)

and

$$K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, \rho(t, \xi)) \Phi(x) dx = K_{\Phi} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[\Phi(x) \frac{\partial u(x, \rho(t, \xi))}{\partial \gamma} - u(x, \rho(t, \xi)) \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial \gamma} \right] dS$$

$$+ K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x, \rho(t, \xi)) \Delta \Phi(x) dx$$

$$= -\lambda_0 V(\rho(t, \xi)) \le 0,$$
(4)

where dS is surface component on $\partial\Omega$. Furthermore applying Jensen's inequality for convex functions and using the assumptions on (H_2) , we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q(x, t, \xi) f(u(x, \sigma(t, \xi))) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) d\eta(\xi) dx$$

$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \int_{\Omega} f(u(x, \sigma(t, \xi))) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) dx d\eta(\xi)$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \epsilon \int_{\Omega} u(x, \sigma(t, \xi)) K_{\Phi} \Phi(x) dx d\eta(\xi)$$

$$\geq \epsilon \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) V(\sigma(t, \xi)) d\eta(\xi). \tag{5}$$

In consideration of (2)-(5), we acquire

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m} \left[V(t) + c(t)V(\tau(t)) \right] + \epsilon \int_a^b Q(t,\xi)V(\sigma(t,\xi))d\eta(\xi) \le 0.$$
 (6)

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Set $Z(t) = V(t) + c(t)V(\tau(t))$. Equation (6), can be written as

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + \epsilon \int_a^b Q(t,\xi)V(\sigma(t,\xi))d\eta(\xi) \le 0, \quad t \ne t_k.$$
(7)

From the assumption of c(t) and $Q(t,\xi)$, we have $Z(t) \geq V(t) > 0$ and $Z^{(m)}(t) \leq 0$. Simultaneously, we can further prove $Z^{(m-1)}(t) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_2$. In addition, from Lemma (2.4), there exists a $t_3 \geq t_2$ and a odd number l, $0 \leq l \leq m-1$, and for $t \geq t_3$, we have

$$Z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \qquad 0 \le i \le l,$$

 $(-1)^{(i-1)}Z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \qquad l \le i \le m-1.$

By choosing i = 1, we have Z'(t) > 0, since $Z(t) \ge x(t) > 0$, $Z'(t) \ge 0$, we have

$$Z(\sigma(t,\xi)) \ge Z(\sigma(t,\xi) - \tau(t,\xi)) \ge x(\sigma(t,\xi) - \tau(t,\xi)),$$

and thus

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + \epsilon \int_{a}^{b} Q(t,\xi) Z(\sigma(t,\xi)) \left(1 - c(\sigma(t,\xi))\right) d\eta(\xi) \le 0.$$
 (8)

From equation (7), we get

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + G(t)Z(\sigma(t,\xi)) \le 0.$$

From (H_3) and (H_4) , we have

$$Z(\sigma(t,\xi)) \geq Z(\sigma(t,a)) > 0, \quad \xi \in [a,b] \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(t) \leq \sigma(t,\xi) \leq t.$$

Thus $Z(\theta(t)) \leq Z(\sigma(t,a))$ for $t \geq t_2$. Then (3.8) can be written as

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + G(t)Z(\theta(t)) \le 0.$$

For $t \geq t_0$, $t = t_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, multiplying both sides of the equation (E) by $K_{\Phi}\Phi(x) > 0$, integrating with respect to x over the domain Ω ,

and from
$$(H_6)$$
, we obtain $a_k^{(i)} \leq \frac{\frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x,t_k^+)}{\partial t^{(i)}}}{\frac{\partial^{(i)}u(x,t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}} \leq b_k^{(i)}$.

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

According to $V(t) = K_{\Phi} \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) \Phi(x) dx$, we have

$$a_k^{(i)} \le \frac{\frac{\partial^{(i)} V(x, t_k^+)}{\partial t^{(i)}}}{\frac{\partial^{(i)} V(x, t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}} \le b_k^{(i)}.$$

Since $Z(t) = V(t) + c(t)V(\tau(t))$, we obtain

$$a_k^{(i)} \le \frac{\frac{\partial^{(i)} Z(x, t_k^+)}{\partial t^{(i)}}}{\frac{\partial^{(i)} Z(x, t_k)}{\partial t^{(i)}}} \le b_k^{(i)}.$$

Therefore Z(t) is an eventually positive solution of (1). This disagree with the hypothesis.

Theorem 3.2 If there exists a function $\varphi(t) \in C^1([0,+\infty),(0,+\infty))$ which is nondecreasing with respect to t, such that

$$\int_{t_1}^{+\infty} \prod_{\substack{t_0 \le t_1 \le s \\ a_k^{(0)}}} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[\varphi(s) G(s) - \frac{(\varphi'(s))^2}{4F(s)\varphi(s)} \right] ds = +\infty, \tag{9}$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E) and (B_1) is oscillatory in G.

Proof: Assume that there exists a nonoscillatory solution u(x,t) of the boundary value problem (E), (B_1) and u(x,t) > 0. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma (3.1) to get that

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + G(t)Z(\theta(t)) < 0,$$

where $Z(t) = V(t) + c(t)V(\tau(t))$ and satisfies $Z^{(m)}(t) \le 0$, $Z^{(m-1)}(t) \ge 0$ and an odd number l, $0 \le l \le m-1$, such that

$$Z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \ 0 \le i \le l, \ (-1)^{(i-1)} Z^{(i)}(t) > 0, \text{ for } l \le i \le m-1.$$

Define

$$W(t) := \varphi(t) \frac{Z^{(m-1)}(t)}{Z(\theta(t))}, \quad t \ge t_0,$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

then $W(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$, and

$$W'(t) \le \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)}W(t) + \frac{\varphi(t)Z^{(m)}(t)}{Z(\theta(t))} - \frac{\varphi(t)Z^{(m-1)}(t)Z'(\theta(t))\theta'(t)}{Z(\theta(t))^2}.$$

From $Z^{(m)}(t) \leq 0$, according to Lemma (2.5), we obtain

$$Z'(\theta(t)) \ge M(\theta(t))^{m-2} Z^{(m-1)}(t).$$

Thus

$$W'(t) \leq \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)}W(t) - G(t)\varphi(t) - \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)}W^{2}(t)$$

$$W(t_{k}^{+}) \leq \frac{b_{k}^{(m-1)}}{a_{k}^{(0)}}W(t_{k}).$$

Define

$$U(t) = \prod_{t_0 < t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} W(t).$$

In fact, W(t) is continuous on each interval $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$, and in consideration of $W(t_k^+) \le \left(b_k^{(m-1)}/a_k^{(0)}\right)W(t_k)$. It follows for $t \ge t_0$ that

$$U(t_k^+) = \prod_{t_0 \le t_j \le t_k} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} W(t_k^+) \le \prod_{t_0 \le t_j < t_k} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} W(t_k) = U(t_k)$$

and for all $t \geq t_0$, we get

$$U(t_k^-) = \prod_{t_0 \le t_i \le t_{k-1}} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} W(t_k^-) \le \prod_{t_0 \le t_i < t_k} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} W(t_k) = U(t_k),$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

which implies that U(t) is continuous on $[t_0, +\infty)$ and satisfies

$$\begin{split} &U'(t) + \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) \frac{U^2(t)F(t)}{\varphi(t)} + \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(t)\varphi(t) - \frac{\varphi'(t)U(t)}{\varphi(t)} \\ &= \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} W'(t) + \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-2} \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)} W^2(t) \\ &+ \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(t)\varphi(t) - \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)} W(t) \\ &= \prod_{t_0 \leq t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[W'(t) + W^2(t) \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)} - W(t) \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)} + G(t)\varphi(t) \right] \leq 0. \end{split}$$

That is

$$U'(t) \le -\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)} U^2(t) + \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)} U(t) - \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(t) \varphi(t).$$

Applying Lemma (2.6) with

$$X = \sqrt{\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right) \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)}} U(t), \quad Y = \frac{\varphi'(t)}{2} \sqrt{\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{F(t)\varphi(t)}},$$

we have

$$\frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)}U(t) - \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right) \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)}U^2(t) \le \frac{(\varphi'(t))^2}{4F(t)\varphi(t)} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right)^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$U'(t) \le -\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(t)\varphi(t) - \frac{(\varphi'(t))^2}{4F(t)\varphi(t)} \right].$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Integrating both sides from t_1 to t, we have

$$U(t) \le U(t_1) - \int_{t_1}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{(\varphi'(s))^2}{4F(s)\varphi(s)} \right] ds.$$

Letting $t \to +\infty$, we have $\lim_{t \to +\infty} U(t) = -\infty$, which leads to a contradiction with $U(t) \ge 0$ and completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 Assume that there exist functions $\varphi(t)$ and $\rho(s) \in C^1([0,+\infty),(0,+\infty))$ in such that $\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing with respect to t, and the functions $H(t,s),h(t,s)\in C^1(D,\mathbb{R})$, in which $D=\{(t,s)|t\geq s\geq t_0>0\}$, such that

$$(H_7)$$
 $H(t,t) = 0$, $t \ge t_0$; $H(t,s) > 0$, $t > s \ge t_0$,

 $(H_8) \ H'_t(t,s) \ge 0, \quad H'_s(t,s) \le 0,$

$$(H_9) - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [H(t,s)\rho(s)] - H(t,s)\rho(s) \frac{\varphi'(s)}{\varphi(s)} = h(t,s).$$

If

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \Pi(s) ds = +\infty, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\Pi(s) = G(s)\varphi(s)H(t,s)\rho(s) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{|h(t,s)|^2\varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)},$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_1) is oscillatory in G.

Proof: Assume that the boundary value problem (E), (B_1) has a nonoscillatory solution u(x,t). Without loss of generality, assume that u(x,t) > 0, $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,+\infty)$. The case for u(x,t) < 0 can be considered in the same method. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem (3.2), to get

$$U'(t) \le -\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) \frac{F(t)}{\varphi(t)} U^2(t) + \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)} U(t) - \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < t} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(t) \varphi(t).$$

Multiplying the above inequality by $H(t,s)\rho(s)$ for $t \geq s \geq T$, and integrating from T to t, we have

$$\int_{T}^{t} U'(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)ds \leq -\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}^{(m-1)}}{a_{k}^{(0)}}\right) \frac{F(s)}{\varphi(s)} U^{2}(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)ds$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

$$+ \int_{T}^{t} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{\varphi(s)} U(s) H(t,s) \rho(s) ds$$

$$- \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t:s \in t \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\frac{b_{k}^{(m-1)}}{a_{k}^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(s) \varphi(s) H(t,s) \rho(s) ds. \tag{11}$$

Thus, we have
$$\int_T^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(s) \varphi(s) H(t,s) \rho(s) ds$$
$$\le U(T) H(t,T) \rho(T) + \int_T^t |h(t,s) U(s)| \, ds$$

$$-\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_{0} \le t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}^{(m-1)}}{a_{k}^{(0)}} \right) \frac{F(s)}{\varphi(s)} U^{2}(s) H(t, s) \rho(s) ds. \tag{12}$$

Applying Lemma (2.6) with

$$X = \sqrt{\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right) \frac{F(s)}{\varphi(s)} H(t, s) \rho(s)} U(s)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{2} |h(t,s)| \sqrt{\prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)}}$$

we attain for
$$t > T \ge t_0$$
 that
$$|h(t,s)U(s)| - \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}}\right) \frac{F(s)}{\varphi(s)} H(t,s)\rho(s)U^2(s)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2 \varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)} \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

In addition, from (12) and (13), we have

$$\int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} G(s) \varphi(s) H(t, s) \rho(s) ds$$

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

$$-\frac{1}{4} \int_{T}^{t} \frac{|h(t,s)|^{2} \varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)} \prod_{t_{0} \leq t_{k} < s} \left(\frac{b_{k}^{(m-1)}}{a_{k}^{(0)}}\right)^{-1} ds$$

$$\leq U(T)H(t,T)\rho(T) \leq H(t,t_{0})\rho(T)U(T), \quad t > T \geq t_{0}. \tag{14}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof given by Philos[14].

Remark 3.4 In Theorem (3.3), by choosing $\rho(s) = \varphi(s) \equiv 1$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 Assume that the conditions of Theorem (3.3) hold, and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) ds = +\infty,$$

where

$$\Gamma(s) = G(s)H(t,s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2}{F(s)H(t,s)},$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_1) is oscillatory in G.

Remark 3.6 From Theorem (3.3) and Corollary (3.5), we can attain various oscillatory criteria by different choices of the weighted function H(t,s). For example, choosing $H(t,s) = (t-s)^{n-1}$, $t \geq s \geq t_0$, in which m > 2 is an integer, then $h(t,s) = (n-1)(t-s)^{(n-3)/2}$, $t \geq s \geq t_0$. From Corollary (3.5), we have

Corollary 3.7 If there exists an integer m > 2 such that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^{n-1}} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)(t-s)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(t-s)^2 F(s)} \right] ds = +\infty,$$
(15)

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_1) is oscillatory in G.

Theorem 3.8 Let the functions $H(t,s), h(t,s), \varphi(s)$ and $\rho(s)$ be as defined in

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Theorem (3.3). Additionally, suppose that

$$0 < \inf_{s \ge t_0} \left\{ \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \frac{H(t,s)}{H(t,t_0)} \right\} \le +\infty,$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2 \varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)} ds < +\infty.$$

If there exists a function $A(t) \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) \frac{F(s)(A_+(s))^2}{\rho(s)\varphi(s)} ds = +\infty,$$

and for every $T \geq t_0$

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)H(t,s)\varphi(s)\rho(s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2 \varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)} \right] ds$$

$$\ge A(T),$$

where $A_{+}(s) = \max\{A(s), 0\}$, then every solution of the boundary value problem $(E), (B_1)$ is oscillatory in G.

Proof: Assume that the boundary value problem $(E), (B_1)$ has a nonoscillatory solution u(x,t). Without loss of generality, assume that u(x,t) > 0, $(x,t) \in \Omega \times [0,+\infty)$. The case for u(x,t) < 0 can be considered in the same method. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem (3.3), we have (12) and (14). Then for $t > T \ge t_0$, we get

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)H(t,s)\varphi(s)\rho(s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2 \varphi(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\rho(s)} \right] ds$$

$$\le \rho(T)U(T).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof in [23] and hence is omitted.

Remark 3.9 In Theorem (3.8), by choosing $\rho(s) = \varphi(s) \equiv 1$, we get the following corollary.

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Corollary 3.10 Assume that the conditions of Theorem (3.8) hold, and assume that $\rho(s) = \varphi(s) \equiv 1$. If

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)H(t,s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left| h(t,s) \right|^2}{F(s)H(t,s)} \right] ds \ge A(T),$$

for every $T \ge t_0$, where $A_+(s) = \max\{A(s), 0\}$, then every solution of the boundary value problem $(E), (B_1)$ is oscillatory in G.

Remark 3.11 Similar to Corollary (3.7), we can obtain the following corollary from Corollary (3.10).

Corollary 3.12 Assume that the conditions of Theorem (3.8) hold, and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^{n-1}} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(t-s)^2 F(s)} ds < +\infty.$$

If there exists an integer n > 2 and function $A(t) \in C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) F(s) (A_+(s))^2 ds = +\infty,$$

and for every $T \geq t_0$

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^{n-1}} \int_T^t \prod_{\substack{t_0 \le t_1 \le s \\ a_k}} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)(t-s)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(t-s)^2 F(s)} \right] ds \ge A(T),$$

where $A_{+}(s) = \max\{A(s), 0\}$, then every solution of the boundary value problem $(E), (B_{1})$ is oscillatory in G.

4. Oscillation of the Problem (E) and (B_2)

In this section, we establish sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the problem (E), (B_2) .

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

Lemma 4.1 If the functional impulsive differential inequality

$$\tilde{Z}^{(m)}(t) + G(t)\tilde{Z}(\theta(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \neq t_{k}$$

$$a_{k}^{(i)} \leq \frac{\frac{\partial^{(i)}\tilde{Z}(t_{k}^{+})}{\partial t^{(i)}}}{\frac{\partial^{(i)}\tilde{Z}(t_{k})}{\partial t^{(i)}}} \leq b_{k}^{(i)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1$$
(16)

has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of the boundary value problem defined by (E) and (B_2) is oscillatory in G.

Proof: Assume that there exist a nonoscillatory solution u(x,t) of the boundary value problem (E), (B_2) and u(x,t) > 0. By the hypothesis (H_1) and (H_3) , that there exists a $t_1 > t_0 > 0$ such that $\tau(t) \geq t_0$, $\sigma(t,\xi)$, $\rho(t,\xi) \geq t_0$ for $(t,\xi) \in [t_1, +\infty) \times [a,b]$ for $t \geq t_1$, then

$$u(x,\tau(t)) > 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty),$$

$$u(x,\sigma(t,\xi)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t,\xi) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty) \times [a,b]$$
and
$$u(x,\rho(t,\xi)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t,\xi) \in \Omega \times [t_1,+\infty) \times [a,b].$$

For $t \ge t_0$, $t \ne t_k$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, multiplying both sides of equation (E) by $1/|\Omega|$ and integrating with respect to x over the domain Ω , we obtain

$$\frac{d^{m}}{dt^{m}} \left[\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x,t) dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} c(t) u(x,\tau(t)) dx \right]
+ \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q(x,t,\xi) f(u(x,\sigma(t,\xi))) d\eta(\xi) dx
= a(t) \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t) dx - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} b(t,\xi) \Delta u(x,\rho(t,\xi)) d\eta(\xi) dx.$$
(17)

By Green's formula and boundary condition (B_2) ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x,t)dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \gamma} dS = -\int_{\partial \Omega} \mu(x,t)u(x,t)dS \le 0,$$
(18)

and
$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, \rho(t, \xi)) dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u(x, \rho(t, \xi))}{\partial \gamma} dS$$

$$= -\int_{\partial\Omega} \mu(x, \rho(t, \xi)) u(x, \rho(t, \xi)) dS \le 0$$
 (19)

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

where dS is surface element on $\partial\Omega$. Also from (H_2) and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \int_{a}^{b} q(x, t, \xi) f(u(x, \sigma(t, \xi))) d\eta(\xi) dx$$

$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f(u(x, \sigma(t, \xi))) dx d\eta(\xi)$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \epsilon \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x, \sigma(t, \xi)) dx d\eta(\xi)$$

$$\geq \epsilon \int_{a}^{b} Q(t, \xi) \tilde{V}(\sigma(t, \xi)) d\eta(\xi). \tag{20}$$

In view of (17)-(20), yield

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m} \left[\tilde{V}(t) + c(t)\tilde{V}(\tau(t)) \right] + \epsilon \int_a^b Q(t,\xi)\tilde{V}(\sigma(t,\xi)) d\eta(\xi) \le 0. \tag{21}$$

Set $\tilde{Z}(t) = \tilde{V}(t) + c(t)\tilde{V}(\tau(t))$. Equation (21), can be written as

$$Z^{(m)}(t) + \epsilon \int_a^b Q(t,\xi)\tilde{V}(\sigma(t,\xi))d\eta(\xi) \le 0, \quad t \ne t_k.$$
 (22)

Rest of the proof is parallel to the Lemma (3.1), and hence the details are omitted.

Theorem 4.2 If there exists a function $\tilde{\varphi}(t) \in C^1([0,+\infty),(0,+\infty))$ which is nondecreasing with respect to t, such that

$$\int_{t_1}^{+\infty} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k \le s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[\tilde{\varphi}(s) G(s) - \frac{(\tilde{\varphi}'(s))^2}{4F(s)\tilde{\varphi}(s)} \right] ds = \infty,$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_2) is oscillatory in G.

Theorem 4.3 Assume that there exist functions $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(s) \in C^1([0,+\infty),(0,+\infty))$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(t)$ is nondecreasing. Assume that the functions there exist two functions $H(t,s),\ h(t,s) \in C^1(D,\mathbb{R})$, in which

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

 $D = \{(t, s) | t \ge s \ge t_0 > 0\}$, such that $(H_7) - (H_9)$ hold. If

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \tilde{\Pi}(s) ds = \infty,$$

where

$$\tilde{\Pi}(s) = G(s)\tilde{\varphi}(s)H(t,s)\tilde{\rho}(s) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\left|h(t,s)\right|^2\tilde{\varphi}(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\tilde{\rho}(s)},$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_2) is oscillatory in G.

Remark 4.4 In Theorem (4.3), by choosing $\tilde{\rho}(s) = \tilde{\varphi}(s) \equiv 1$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5 Assume that the conditions $(H_7) - (H_9)$ hold, and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(s) ds = \infty,$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_2) is oscillatory in G.

Remark 4.6 From Theorem (4.3) and Corollary (4.5), we can attain various oscillatory criteria by different choices of the weighted function H(t,s). For example, choosing $H(t,s) = (t-s)^{n-1}$, $t \geq s \geq t_0$, in which n > 2 is an integer, then $h(t,s) = (n-1)(t-s)^{(n-3)/2}$, $t \geq s \geq t_0$. From Corollary (4.5), we get

Corollary 4.7 If there exists an integer n > 2 such that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^{n-1}} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)(t-s)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(t-s)^2 F(s)} \right] ds = +\infty,$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_2) is oscillatory in G.

Theorem 4.8 Let the functions H(t,s), h(t,s), $\tilde{\varphi}(s)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(s)$ be as defined in Theorem 4.3. Additionally, suppose that

$$0 < \inf_{s \ge t_0} \left\{ \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \frac{H(t,s)}{H(t,t_0)} \right\} \le +\infty,$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2 \, \tilde{\varphi}(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\tilde{\rho}(s)} ds < +\infty.$$

If there exists a function $\tilde{A}(t) \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) \frac{F(s)(\tilde{A}_+(s))^2}{\tilde{\rho}(s)\tilde{\varphi}(s)} ds = +\infty,$$

and for every $T \geq t_0$

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)H(t,s)\tilde{\varphi}(s)\tilde{\rho}(s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left| h(t,s) \right|^2 \tilde{\varphi}(s)}{F(s)H(t,s)\tilde{\rho}(s)} \right] ds$$

$$\geq \tilde{A}(T),$$

where $\tilde{A}_{+}(s) = \max{\{\tilde{A}(s), 0\}}$, then every solution of the boundary value problem $(E), (B_2)$ is oscillatory in G.

Remark 4.9 In Theorem (4.8), by choosing $\tilde{\rho}(s) = \tilde{\varphi}(s) \equiv 1$, we attain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.10 Assume that the conditions of Theorem (4.8) hold and assume that $\tilde{\rho}(s) = \tilde{\varphi}(s) \equiv 1$. If

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{H(t,T)} \int_{T}^{t} \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)H(t,s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{|h(t,s)|^2}{F(s)H(t,s)} \right] ds \ge \tilde{A}(T),$$

for every $T \geq t_0$, then every solution of the boundary value problem (E), (B_2) is oscillatory in G.

Remark 4.11 Similar to Corollary (4.7), we can obtain the following corollary from Corollary (4.10).

Corollary 4.12 Assume that the conditions of Theorem (4.8) hold, and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^{n-1}} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(t-s)^2 F(s)} ds < \infty.$$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

If there exists an integer n > 2 and function $\tilde{A}(t) \in C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 < t_k < s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right) F(s) (\tilde{A}_+(s))^2 ds = \infty,$$

and for every $T \geq t_0$

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^{n-1}} \int_T^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k \le s} \left(\frac{b_k^{(m-1)}}{a_k^{(0)}} \right)^{-1} \left[G(s)(t-s)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n-1)^2}{(t-s)^2 F(s)} \right] ds \ge \tilde{A}(T),$$

then every solution of the boundary value problem $(E), (B_2)$ is oscillatory in G.

5. Examples

In this part, we present couple of examples to point up our results established in Section 3 and Section 4.

Example 5.1 Consider the following equation

$$\frac{\partial^{6}}{\partial t^{6}} \left(u(x,t) + \frac{1}{5}u(x,t-\pi) \right) + \frac{6}{5} \int_{-\pi/2}^{-\pi/4} u(x,t+2\xi) d\xi
= \frac{4}{5} \Delta u(x,t) - \frac{6}{5} \int_{-\pi/2}^{-\pi/4} \Delta u(x,t+2\xi) d\xi, \quad t > 1, \ t \neq t_{k}, \ k = 1,2,\cdots,
u(x,(t_{k})^{+}) = \frac{k+1}{k} u(x,t_{k}),$$

$$\frac{\partial^{(i)}}{\partial t^{(i)}} u(x, (t_k)^+) = \frac{\partial^{(i)}}{\partial t^{(i)}} u(x, t_k), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (23)

for $(x,t) \in (0,\pi) \times [0,+\infty)$, with the boundary condition

$$u(0,t) = u(\pi,t) = 0, \quad t \neq t_k.$$
 (24)

Here $\Omega = (0, \pi)$, m = 6, $a_k^{(0)} = b_k^{(0)} = \frac{k+1}{k}$, $a_k^{(i)} = b_k^{(i)} = 1$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, $c(t) = \frac{1}{5}$, $\tau(t) = t - \pi$, $Q(t, \xi) = \frac{6}{5}$, f(u) = u, $\sigma(t, \xi) = \rho(t, \xi) = t + 2\xi$, $a(t) = \frac{4}{5}$, $b(t, \xi) = \frac{6}{5}$, $\eta(\xi) = \xi$, $\theta(t) = t$, $\theta'(t) = 1$, $\epsilon = 1$. Since $t_0 = 1$, $t_k = 2^k$, $g_0 = \frac{4}{5}$, $G(s) = \frac{6\pi}{25}$, $F(s) = s^4$. Then from the hypotheses $(H_1) - (H_6)$ hold, moreover $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \int_{t_0}^t \prod_{t_0 \le t_k < s} \frac{a_k^{(0)}}{b_k^{(i)}} ds = \int_1^{+\infty} \prod_{1 < t_k < s} \frac{k}{k+1} ds$

 $^{^{1*}}$ ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

$$= \int_{1}^{t_{1}} \prod_{1 < t_{k} < s} \frac{k}{k+1} ds + \int_{t_{1}^{+}}^{t_{2}} \prod_{1 < t_{k} < s} \frac{k}{k+1} ds + \int_{t_{2}^{+}}^{t_{3}} \prod_{1 < t_{k} < s} \frac{k}{k+1} ds + \cdots$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \times 2^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{4} \times 2^{3} + \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{n}}{n+1} = +\infty.$$

Thus, the condition (3.15) reads.

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-1)^5} \left\{ \int_1^t \prod_{1 < t_k < s} \frac{k}{k+1} \left[\frac{6\pi}{25} (t-s)^5 - \frac{25}{4s^4 (t-s)^2} \right] ds \right\} = +\infty.$$

Therefore all the conditions of the Corollary (3.7) are satisfied. Therefore, every solution of equation (23)-(24) is oscillatory in G. In fact $u(x,t) = \sin x \cos t$ is such a solution.

Example 5.2 Consider the following equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial t^{4}} \left(u(x,t) + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} u(x,t-3\pi) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{0} u(x,t+\xi) d\xi
= \left(\frac{12}{(t+1)^{5}} - \frac{6}{(t+1)^{3}} + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} - 1 \right) \Delta u(x,t)
+ \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{12}{(t+1)^{4}} + \frac{2}{(t+1)^{2}} \right) \right) \int_{-\pi}^{0} \Delta u(x,t+\xi) d\xi, \quad t > 1, \ t \neq t_{k},$$

$$u(x,(t_{k})^{+}) = \frac{k+1}{k} u(x,t_{k}),$$

$$\frac{\partial^{(i)}}{\partial t^{(i)}} u(x,(t_{k})^{+}) = \frac{\partial^{(i)}}{\partial t^{(i)}} u(x,t_{k}), \quad i = 1,2,3, \quad k = 1,2,\cdots$$

for $(x,t) \in (0,\pi) \times [0,+\infty)$, with the boundary condition

$$u_x(0,t) = u_x(\pi,t) = 0, \quad t \neq t_k.$$
 (26)

Here
$$\Omega = (0, \pi)$$
, $m = 4$, $\mu(x, t) = 1$, $a_k^{(0)} = b_k^{(0)} = \frac{k+1}{k}$, $a_k^{(i)} = b_k^{(i)} = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $c(t) = \frac{1}{2(t+1)}$, $\tau(t) = t - 3\pi$, $Q(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}$, $f(u) = u$, $\sigma(t, \xi) = \rho(t, \xi) = t + \xi$,

^{1*}ovsadha@gmail.com

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

$$a(t) = \frac{12}{(t+1)^5} - \frac{6}{(t+1)^3} + \frac{1}{2(t+1)} - 1, \ b(t,\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{12}{(t+1)^4} + \frac{2}{(t+1)^2} \right),$$

$$\eta(\xi) = \xi, \ \theta(t) = t^2, \ \theta'(t) = 2t, \ \epsilon = 1. \text{ Since } t_0 = 1, \ t_k = 2^k, \ g_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{2(t+\xi+1)},$$

$$G(s) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(t+\xi+1)} \right), \ F(s) = 2s^5. \text{ Then hypotheses } (H_1) - (H_6) \text{ hold. Thus,}$$

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{(t-1)^3} \left\{ \int_1^t \prod_{1 < t_k < s} \frac{k}{k+1} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2(s+\xi+1)} \right) (t-s)^3 - \frac{9}{8s^5(t-s)^2} \right] ds \right\} = +\infty.$$

Therefore all the conditions of the Corollary (4.7) are satisfied. Therefore, every solution of equation (25)-(26 is oscillatory in G. In fact $u(x,t) = \cos x \sin t$ is such a solution.

References

- [1] Bainov DD and Mishev DP, Oscillation Theory for Neutral Differential Equations with Delay, Adam Hilger, New York, (1991).
- [2] Erbe L, Freedman H, Liu XZ and Wu JH, Comparison principles for impulsive parabolic equations with application to models of single species growth, J. Aust. Math. Soc., 32, 1991, 382-400.
- [3] Gopalsamy K and Zhang BG, On delay differential equations with impulses, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 139, 1989, 110-122.
- [4] Gui G and Xu Z, Oscillation of even order partial differential equations with distributed deviating arguments, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 228 2009, 20-29.
- [5] Hardy GH, Littlewood JE and Polya G, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (1988).
- [6] Hartman P and Wintner A, On a comaparison theorem for self-adjoint partial differential equations of elliptic type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 6, 862-865, (1955).
- [7] KiguradzeB IT, On the oscillation of solutions of the equation $\frac{d^m u}{dt^m} + a(t) |u|^n sqnu = 0$, Math. Sb.,(in Russian) 65, 172-187, (1964).
- [8] Ladde GS, Lakshmikantham V and Zhang BG, Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments, Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, (1987).
- [9] Lakshmikantham V, Bainov DD and Simeonov PS, Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations, World Scientific Publishers, Singapore, (1989).

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

[10] Li WN and Debnath L, Oscillation of higher-order neutral partial functional differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett., 16, 2003, 525-530.

- [11] Li WN and Sheng W, Oscillation of certain higher-order neutral partial functional differential equations, Springer Plus, 01-08, 2016.
- [12] Lin WX, Some oscillation theorems for systems of even order quasilinear partial differential equations, Appl. Math. Comput., 152, 2004, 337-349.
- [13] Liu GJ and Wang CY, Forced oscillation of neutral impulsive parabolic partial differential equations with continuous distributed deviating arguments, Open Access Library Journal, 1, 2014, 1-8.
- [14] Philos Ch G, A new criterion for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of delay differential equations, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math., 39, 1981, 61-64.
- [15] Sadhasivam V, Kavitha J and Raja T, Forced oscillation of nonlinear impulsive hyperbolic partial differential equation with several delays, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 3, 2015, 1491-1505.
- [16] Sadhasivam V, Kavitha J and Raja T, Forced oscillation of impulsive neutral hyperbolic differential equations, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 11(1), 2016, 58-63.
- [17] Sadhasivam V, Raja T and Kalaimani T, Oscillations of Nonlinear Impulsive Neutral functional Hyperbolic Equations with Damping, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 106(8), 2016, 187-197.
- [18] Saker SH and Alzabut J, Existence of periodic solutions, global attractivity and oscillation of impulsive delay population model, Nonlinear Anal. Real., 8(4), 2007, 1029-1039.
- [19] Sturm C, Surles équations différentielles linéaries du second ordre, J. Math. Pure Appl., 1, 1836, 106-186.
- [20] Tanaka S and Yoshida N, Forced oscillation of certain hyperbolic equations with continuous distributed deviating arguments, Ann. Polon. Math., 85, 2005, 37-54.
- [21] Thandapani E and Savithri R, On oscillation of a neutral partial functional differential equations, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin., 31(4), 2003, 273-292.
- [22] Vladimirov VS, Equations of Mathematics Physics, Nauka, Moscow, (1981).
- [23] Wang PG, Yu YH and Caccetta L, Oscillation criteria for boundary value problems of high-order partial functional differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 207, 2007, 567-577.

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26524/cm3

[24] Wu JH, Theory and Applications of Partial Functional Differential Equations, Springer, New York, (1996).

[25] Yoshida N, Oscillation Theory of Partial Differential Equations, World Scientific, Singapore, (2008).

 $^{^{1*}} ov sadha@gmail.com$